

Microsoft claims it has no idea when Call of Duty came out

One year ago this month, Microsoft announced it would spend \$68.7 billion to acquire Activision Blizzard, highlighting how it would get “iconic franchises” including *Call of Duty*, *Warcraft* and *Candy Crush* for that fee. But now that gamers and regulators are worrying Microsoft might keep *Call of Duty* from appearing on Sony’s PlayStation, Microsoft’s lawyers are suddenly pretending they have no idea why *Call of Duty* is special.

Or even when it came out, for that matter.

As Matt Stoller notes, the company’s 37-page reply to the FTC lawsuit seeking to block the Activision Blizzard deal includes this laughable passage:

Microsoft avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning industry perceptions of Call of Duty and Call of Duty’s original release date; or as to the truth of the allegations concerning Call of Duty’s launch and typical release schedule and the resources and budget Activision allocates to Call of Duty, including the number of studios that work on Call of Duty.

I wonder how long it would take to figure out *Call of Duty*’s rel—oh, wait:

a: hover]: text-gray-63 text-gray-63 dark:[&a: hover]: text-gray-bd dark: text-gray-bd dark:[&a]: text-gray-bd [&a]: shadow-

underline-gray-63 [a: hover]: shadow-underline-black
dark:[a]: shadow-underline-gray dark:[a: hover]: shadow-
underline-gray">Screenshot by Sean Hollister / The Verge
Even forgetting for a moment that much of what Microsoft's
asking the FTC to *prove* is eminently googleable, I don't
believe for a damn second that Microsoft doesn't have more
obscure details as well, like the budgets and rough headcounts
of every *Call of Duty* studio.

For one thing, Activision Blizzard probably coughed up those
details as part of the due diligence around the transaction
before Microsoft ever announced it would spend \$68 billion on
the company. But even if that somehow didn't happen, I imagine
Microsoft also has mounds of opposition research. When I
brought you the very best and most revealing emails from the
Epic v. Apple trial, I came across a 67-page document from
Microsoft's "Gaming Business Planning & Strategy Team" that
broke down all of Microsoft's main competitors in quite a
number of ways, going so far as to estimate non-public
information like how Sony's PlayStation Now cloud gaming
service was pulling in \$359 million in 2019.

So yes, this is absolutely Microsoft playing dumb.

Supposed *Call of Duty* exclusivity has been a thorn in
Microsoft's side for many months, even though Microsoft gaming
boss Phil Spencer has repeatedly insisted that the franchise
will stay on rival consoles – *Verge* editor-in-chief Nilay
Patel grilled him repeatedly about it on the *Decoder podcast*,
and he didn't dodge our questions. I'd recommend giving it a
listen if you're curious.

<https://www.theverge.com/23536092/microsoft-plays-dumb-call-of-duty-release-date>